OST-95-206-18

159

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

1015 1

BEFORE THE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

95 APR -4 PM 4:20 DUCKET SECTION

U.S. TORONTO SERVICE PROCEEDING

Docket 50168

### REBUTTAL EXHIBITS OF THE GEORGIA & ATLANTA PARTIES

Communications with respect to this document should be addressed to:

#### Miguel Southwell

Acting Manager of Marketing Airport General Manager's Office HARTSFIELD ATLANTA INT'L AIRPORT Atlanta, Georgia 30320 Telephone: (404) 530-6834

FAX: (404) 530-6803

#### Jeffrey A. Rader

Manager - Transportation Programs ATLANTA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE 235 International Blvd. NW Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Telephone: (404) 586-8467 FAX: (404) 586-8464

#### Bill Alberger

Ball, Janik & Novack
1101 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 1035
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 638-3307
FAX: (202) 783-6947

Counsel to

THE GEORGIA & ATLANTA PARTIES

Dated: April 4, 1995

### U.S.-TORONTO SERVICE PROCEEDING INDEX Rebuttal Exhibits

Exhibit #	<u>Title</u>	Pages
ATL-R-100	NARRATIVE FOR REBUTTAL EXHIBITS	9
ATL-R-201	EVEN WITH FOUR DAILY NONSTOPS TO TORONTO, PITTSBURGH HAS ONLY 36 PERCENT OF THE TORONTO TRAFFIC OF ATLANTA, WHICH HAS NO NONSTOP SERVICE	1
ATL-R-202	USAIR FORECASTS PITTSBURGH-TORONTO LOCAL TRAFFIC AS LESS THAN 20 PERCENT OF DELTA'S ATLANTA-TORONTO LOCAL FORECAST	1
ATL-R-203	MORE THAN 40% OF USAIR FORECAST IS FOR CITIES THAT ALREADY HAVE NONSTOP SERVICE TO TORONTO	1
ATL-R-204	DELTA'S ATLANTA-TORONTO SERVICE WILL BENEFIT MORE PASSENGERS THAN THE PROPOSALS OF CONTINENTAL, NORTHWEST, TWA OR USAIR	1
ATL-R-301	TWA LOCAL TRAFFIC FORECAST APPEARS EXCESSIVE	1
ATL-R-302	TWA/ST. LOUIS IS A SMALL PROPOSAL COMPARED TO DELTA/ATLANTA	1
ATL-R-401	ATLANTA IS MUCH LARGER THAN NEWARK AS AN AIRLINE HUB	1
ATL-R-402	MORE THAN 65% OF CONTINENTAL FORECAST IS FOR CITIES ALREADY HAVING NONSTOP SERVICE TO TORONTO	2
ATL-R-501	NORTHWEST'S MINNEAPOLIS SERVICE AREA PRIMARILY DUPLICATES NORTHWEST'S DETROIT SERVICE AREA, PARTICULARLY IN LARGEST WESTERN MARKETS	1
ATL-R-502	DELTA'S ATLANTA HUB IS LARGER THAN NORTHWEST'S HUBS AT DETROIT AND MINNEAPOLIS	1
ATL-R-601	DELTA'S ATLANTA-TORONTO PROPOSAL WOULD SERVE MORE TRAFFIC IN MARKETS WITHOUT NONSTOP SERVICE TO TORONTO THAN OTHER CANDIDATE PROPOSALS	4

#### NARRATIVE FOR REBUTTAL EXHIBITS OF THE GEORGIA & ATLANTA PARTIES

These Rebuttal Exhibits are filed by the Georgia & Atlanta Parties in response to the Direct Exhibits of Delta Air Lines, Inc. ("Delta"), Trans World Airlines, Inc. ("TWA"), Northwest Airlines, Inc. ("Northwest"), USAir, Inc. ("USAir"), and Continental Airlines, Inc. ("Continental") in the U.S.-Toronto Service Proceeding. The Exhibits make it even more clear that the Delta proposal for Atlanta-Toronto service is the best, providing more public benefits for more travellers and shippers than selection of any other applicant.

Two carriers have attempted to isolate their applications from the three others and have claimed that there must be a Toronto gateway selected from the states west of the Mississippi River. It is not altogether clear why that should be the case, particularly when both gateway candidate cities in these two proposals border the Mississippi, and thus just barely qualify as "west." This will be reviewed in Section 1. In Section 2, we will focus on the USAir proposal for Pittsburgh-Toronto service. Section 3 will cover the TWA proposal for St. Louis-Toronto service. Section 4 will cover Continental's proposed Newark-Toronto service. Section 5 will address Northwest's proposal for Minneapolis-Toronto service. Finally, in Section 6, we will focus again on the Delta proposal for Atlanta-Toronto service.

#### Section 1 - The Western Markets

Northwest and TWA have made much of the notion that the western states need more gateways to Toronto. Each has divided the country into two sections, split conveniently by the Mississippi River. Since each carrier has proposed a gateway located just immediately west of the River, that seems to qualify each city as a Western gateway. Conceptually, we have a problem with the notion that travellers to or from the western states must stop on their journey to or from Toronto in a city west of the Mississippi. It is clearly irrelevant to the traveller who must make at least one stop on a journey between the United States and Canada whether that one stop is on the left side or the right side of the Mississippi River? The only real concerns for such a traveller are timely arrival and minimal delay or waiting between flights. Circuity may be a passing intellectual curiosity, but is mostly irrelevant if the connection is good and convenient for the traveller's needs. Geographical proximity to the Mississippi River of a change of planes cannot possibly be on most travellers lists of concerns.

#### Section 2 - USAir-Pittsburgh

USAir proposes two daily Pittsburgh-Toronto flights for a city which already has four such daily flights and yet has 1993 CY O&D traffic of only 36 percent of Atlanta's, a city with no nonstop service to Toronto (ATL-R-201). In YE June 1994 data, Pittsburgh drops to only 34% of Atlanta O&D to Toronto. The Pittsburgh-Toronto local market is so small that USAir forecasts

only 15,559 passengers, less than 20% of the Delta forecast for Atlanta-Toronto local traffic (ATL-R-202). USAir is proposing service to about 62 cities that currently have no nonstop service to Toronto. However, USAir can serve most of those cities via its Philadelphia-Toronto service, which operates four times daily. In fact, Delta has shown in the exemption proceeding that almost 75 percent of the USAir connecting passenger forecast has connections via Philadelphia. Of course, Pittsburgh could be added in to that, bringing the total percentage to more than 76 percent. While the OAG does not list the Pittsburgh-Philadelphia-Toronto connection, if a passenger needed to be at Toronto airport before noon, the connection would work, while the nonstops would not. Returning from Toronto, it is not as clear why you would make that extra connection, but it is there. The proposed USAir nonstop service would not get a traveller to Toronto before noon either!

USAir has forecast heavily in markets which already enjoy nonstop service to Toronto. Twenty-one such cities account for 41.6 percent of the forecast traffic (ATL-R-203). In contrast, only 8.8 percent of the Delta forecast is in markets that had nonstop service prior to the exemption proceeding. TWA and Northwest forecast 12.8% and 11 percent respectively in existing nonstop markets. Additionally, USAir forecast traffic in markets where its connections exceed two hours layover, very excessive on a flight to Canada. We believe that is unrealistic forecasting.

#### Section 3 - TWA-St. Louis

The TWA proposal for St. Louis-Toronto is on a much smaller scale than the Delta proposal for Atlanta-Toronto. The 1993 O&D traffic between St. Louis and Toronto is only 32 percent of the Atlanta-Toronto total. The TWA/St. Louis proposal would carry 58 percent of the local traffic of the Delta/Atlanta proposal (St. Louis-Toronto local O&D vs. Atlanta-Toronto). These totals, while showing St. Louis still much smaller than Atlanta, illustrate that TWA probably overforecast local St. Louis-Toronto traffic. (See ATL-R-301.) The forecast says that local St. Louis-Toronto traffic will be 62% more than 1993 O&D. No other applicant forecast even as much as the 1993 O&D for its gateway.

The St. Louis proposal again reflects small. Delta-Atlanta forecasts 77,709 more passengers than TWA-St. Louis. It forecasts 33,223 more local market passengers than TWA. The Delta hub at Atlanta is substantially bigger than TWA's hub at St. Louis. In fact, the Delta hub at Atlanta is the largest hub of any carrier anywhere in the world. Delta has 215 more daily departures at Atlanta than TWA at St. Louis. Delta enplanes almost 2 1/2 times the number of passengers at Atlanta of TWA at St. Louis. There are 50,283 more airplane seats available Daily at the Delta-Atlanta hub than at the TWA-St. Louis hub. For the Toronto service, Delta proposes 65,040 more seats annually than TWA. (See ATL-R-302.)

The TWA-St. Louis proposal and forecast is so tenuous that TWA forecast 4,900 interline within-Canada connecting passengers,

something other applicants did not do in the exemption proceeding, but USAir & Northwest also did in this proceeding. The biggest problems for TWA appear to be the size of markets served by its proposal, and the fact that existing services overlap much of the traffic potential. Four large cities behind St. Louis already have nonstop service -- DFW, Houston, Los Angeles and San Francisco. Chicago-Toronto is served by two hub carriers -- American and United -- which draws the well-served midwest and west traffic base. Additionally, the Northwest service over Detroit accommodates passengers from the west and midwest. As we indicated previously, if you have to stop once on your way between the U.S. west and Toronto, it does not make much difference where the stop is, as long as it doesn't delay your trip.

#### Section 4 - Continental-Newark

Continental actually made two applications for new service to Toronto, and one (for Houston service) was uncontested. Thus, Continental cannot argue that it needs to be a new entrant by receiving Newark as an additional gateway. Further, Continental is clearly linked closely with the operator of six Toronto-Newark daily flights and eight more daily Toronto-LaGuardia services for the New York metropolitan area. Air Canada owns a very significant stake in Continental, and would no doubt place its code on all the connecting flights that Continental proposes in this proceeding. Thus, the travelling public will have access to the Continental system under an Air Canada designator code for

all six daily (or more, if Air Canada offers them) Newark-Toronto services.

Awarding Continental its proposed Newark route would offer almost no public benefits. The coordinated connections at Newark will exist anyway, and that is the major public benefit to be added to Air Canada's Toronto-Newark services. There would be no intragateway competition between Air Canada and Continental at Newark, at Toronto, or beyond. The two carriers are partners, and the primary beneficiary of any award to Continental would appear to be Air Canada. Benefits to Air Canada should not be a goal of this proceeding.

Continental claims it is the only carrier with a major domestic hub in the East seeking its first hub access at Toronto. The Newark hub is less than 29 percent the size of Delta's Atlanta hub. (See ATL-R-401.) One could wonder whether Newark qualifies as a "major" hub at that level. However, the real problem with the Continental claim is that Delta does not have service to Toronto from the biggest airline hub in the world, Atlanta, which is clearly in the eastern half of the United States. Continental places Delta into the shoes of its commuter partners, Comair and Business Express to claim that Delta has Toronto service from Cincinnati and Boston. Absent applications in this proceeding, Delta would not be able to operate large plane services in either of those Toronto markets.

Like USAir, Continental forecast heavily in markets which already have nonstop service to Toronto. While Continental uses

only 11 such markets in its forecast, 65.5 percent of the total forecast comes from those 11 markets. (See ATL-R-402.) We show the contrast to the Delta, Northwest and TWA forecasts on page two of ATL-R-402.

The Delta-Atlanta proposal is substantially superior to the Continental-Newark proposal in all respects. There should be little question in this comparison which gateway is the preferable one -- Atlanta is the city that needs the service and will serve the most passengers and the most underserved region to Toronto.

#### Section 5 - Northwest-Minneapolis

The Northwest proposal for Minneapolis-Toronto service, in large part duplicates its existing Detroit-Toronto service, which is available five times daily. Detroit is a larger hub than Minneapolis for Northwest, with 447 daily departures vs. 421 at Minneapolis. (DL-117). Even the alleged benefits of low circuity for western cities is duplicated by Northwest's existing Detroit-Toronto service. We looked at Table 1 from the Northwest Answer in the exemption proceeding, and at NW-604 and 608 in this proceeding. All eight of the top eight western markets have nonstop to nonstop connections via Detroit and seven have low circuity via Detroit (five of the eight even have lower circuity via Detroit). The other "largest western market" is Minneapolis itself, and that also has circuity below 10 percent and nonstop to nonstop Toronto connections via Detroit.

Of Northwest's 746,550 O&D 1993 passengers shown in NW-608, 652,050 have equal or better connections via Detroit. Only 94,500 remain, and these encompass a few markets. In several of those, the alleged low circuity benefits vanish for Northwest as well. There's really not much left.

Northwest's service proposal for Minneapolis does very little for the underserved southeast region. While Northwest has existing service over its larger midwest hub, Detroit, Northwest wants additional service via a second midwest hub, Minneapolis. Northwest should not receive service at the expense of Delta service from its much larger hub, Atlanta. (See ATL-R-502.)

#### Section 6 - Delta-Atlanta

Several of the applicants claimed extensive traffic in connecting markets which already have nonstop service. Two applicants (Continental and USAir) claimed traffic where connections exceeded two hours. We looked at 1993 O&D passengers in all connecting markets without any nonstop service to Toronto, and with under two hours connections, and compared the passengers served by each applicant. The result is ATL-R-601. It shows that Delta/Atlanta really serves more of the travelling public better than any other applicant.

We believe that the Delta/Atlanta proposal is a winner on all counts. Atlanta is the largest city in America without nonstop service to Toronto (absent the current exemption authority), has the most local O&D passengers of any U.S. city without nonstop service, and is the largest hub in the world.

ATL-R-100 Docket 50168 Page 9 of 9

The United States Government should place one of its two available new U.S.-Toronto routes in the hands of the carrier which will provide first nonstop service to America's largest city without such service and with the largest connecting complex in the world, so that the most potential travellers and shippers can reap the benefits. The difficult choice is who gets the second route. Delta/Atlanta is clearly number one.

# EVEN WITH FOUR DAILY NONSTOPS TO TORONTO PITTSBURGH HAS ONLY 34-36 PERCENT OF THE TORONTO TRAFFIC OF ATLANTA WHICH HAS NO NONSTOP SERVICE (Rebuts US-301)

	1993 O&D Passengers	Atlanta More Than <u>Pittsburgh</u>	Pittsburgh as % of Atlanta
ATLANTA	90,110	57,930	
Pittsburgh	32,180		36%
	June 1994 YE O&D <u>Passengers</u>	Atlanta More Than <u>Pittsburgh</u>	Pittsburgh as % of Atlanta
ATLANTA	90,440	59,320	
Pittsburgh	31,120		34%

SOURCE: DL-108A; US-301

ATL-R-202 DOCKET 50168 Page 1 of 1

# USAIR FORECASTS PITTSBURGH-TORONTO LOCAL TRAFFIC AS LESS THAN 20 PERCENT OF DELTA'S ATLANTA-TORONTO LOCAL TRAFFIC (Rebuts US-301)

<u>Gateway/Carrier</u>	Local Traffic <u>Forecast</u>	Atlanta More Than <u>Pittsburgh</u>	Pittsburgh as % of <u>Atlanta</u>
ATLANTA/DELTA	79,453	63,894	
Pittsburgh/USAir	15,559		19.6%

SOURCE: US-301; DL-301

#### MORE THAN 40% OF USAIR FORECAST IS FOR CITIES ALREADY HAVING NONSTOP SERVICE TO TORONTO (Rebuts US-301)

CITY WITH NONSTOP	FORECAST
Albany Baltimore Boston Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Dallas Detroit Dayton Hartford Houston Indianapolis New York/Newark Los Angeles Miamni Nashville Philadelphia Pittsburgh San Francisco Tampa Washington, D.C.	134 1,032 1,703 1,656 456 2,969 2,074 987 501 2,188 566 1,584 4,844 4,060 2,706 1,606 2,635 15,559 2,021 12,878 _1,073
Total	63,232
Total Forecast Nonstop Cities	151,923 63,232
Percent of Forecast	41.6%

Source: US-301; OAG March 1995

ATL-R-204 DOCKET 50168 Page 1 of 1

# DELTA'S ATLANTA-TORONTO SERVICE WILL BENEFIT MORE PASSENGERS THAN THE PROPOSALS OF CONTINENTAL, NORTHWEST, TWA OR USAIR (Rebuts US-301)

Applicant-Gateway	Forecast <u>Passengers</u>	Percent of Delta
DELTA-ATLANTA	182,879	100%
USAir-Pittsburgh Continental-Newark Northwest-Minneapolis TWA-St. Louis	157,999 126,032 105,956 105,170	86.4% 68.9% 57.9% 57.5%

SOURCE: DL-301; US-301, CO-301, NW-301, TW-301

### TWA LOCAL TRAFFIC FORECAST APPEARS EXCESSIVE (Rebuts TW-301)

<u>Gateway</u>	O&D Base	Passenger <u>Forecast</u>	Forecast as of O&D Base	% Nonstops
St. Louis	28,520	46,230	162%	0
ATLANTA	90,110	79,453	88.2%	0
PIT MSP EWR	31,120 51,290 259,585	15,559 18,096 59,964	50% 35% 23%	4 0 21

SOURCE: TW-301; DL-301; NW-301; US-301; CO-301

#### TWA-ST. LOUIS IS A SMALL PROPOSAL COMPARED TO DELTA-ATLANTA

	Forecast <u>Passengers</u>	Amount Delta <u>Greater</u>	Local Toronto Passenger	<u>s</u>	Amount Delta <u>Greater</u>
DELTA-ATLANTA TWA-St. Louis	<b>182,879</b> 105,170	77,709	<b>79,453</b> 46,230		33,223
	Daily Seat <u>Departures</u>	Amount Delta <u>Greater</u>	Local <u>O&amp;D</u>	Amoun Atlan <u>Grea</u>	nta
DELTA-ATLANTA TWA-St.Louis	<b>86,564</b> 36,281	50,283	90,110 28,520	61,5	90
	Annual <u>Seats</u>	Amount Delta <u>Greater</u>	Markets Served	Amour Delta Great	a
DELTA-ATLANTA TWA-St. Louis	<b>257,760</b> 19 <b>2,</b> 720	75,040	139 101	38	
	Total Daily <u>Departures</u>	Amount Delta <u>Greater</u>	Total Enplaneme	nts	Amount Delta <u>Greater</u>
DELTA-ATLANTA TWA-St. Louis	<b>700</b> 485	215	<b>25,022,44</b> 10,891,56		14,130,884

SOURCE:

DL-301; DL-117; TW-301; Official Airline Guide, January 1995, Airline Seating Guide, U.S. Edition

#### ATLANTA IS MUCH LARGER THAN NEWARK AS AN AIRLINE HUB

	Total Daily <u>Departures</u>	Percent Newark of Atlanta
ATLANTA-DELTA Newark-Continental	700 201	28.7%
	Total Annual Enplanements	Percent Newark of Atlanta
ATLANTA-DELTA Newark-Continental	25,022,449 11,546,506	46.14%
	Markets <u>Served</u>	Percent Newark <u>of Atlanta</u>
ATLANTA-DELTA Newark-Continental	139 84	60.4%
	Average Daily Seats	Percent Newark of Atlanta
ATLANTA-DELTA Newark-Continental	86,564 29,966	34.6%

SOURCE: Official Airline Guide, January 1995
DOT Form 41, T3 Enplanement Statistics, YE September 1994
DL-R-112 in U.S.-Toronto Exemption Proceeding

ATL-R-402 DOCKET 50168 Page 1 of 2

## MORE THAN 65% OF CONTINENTAL FORECAST IS FOR CITIES ALREADY HAVING NONSTOP SERVICE TO TORONTO

(Rebuts CO-301)

CITY WITH NONSTOP	<b>FORECAST</b>
Albany, NY	206
Baltimore, MD	562
Cleveland, OH	1,178
Dayton, OH	446
Hartford, CT	2,426
Houston, TX	672
Indianapolis, IN	483
Newark, NJ	9,964
Philadelphia, PA	1,238
Tampa, FL	4,768
Washington, DC	1,062
Total Nonstops	73,005
Total Forecast	111,688
Percent Nonstops of Forecast	65.4%

SOURCE: CO-301; OAG March 1995

#### MORE THAN 65% OF CONTINENTAL FORECAST IS FOR CITIES ALREADY HAVING STOP SERVICE TO TORONTO

(Rebuts CO-301)

Delta Forecast	
Nonstop Markets	<b>Forecast</b>
Dallas/Fort Worth	3,275
Houston	575
Los Angeles	<u>13,230</u>
Total Nonstop Markets	16,080
Total Forecast	182,879
Percent in Nonstop Markets	8.8%
Northwest Forecast	
Nonstop Markets	<b>Forecast</b>
Chicago	3,212
Dallas/Fort Worth	2,280
Detroit	1,565
Los Angeles	2,646
San Francisco	<u>1,868</u>
Total Nonstop Markets	11,571
Total Forecast (Non-interline)	104,831
Percent in Nonstop Markets	11%
TWA Forecast	
Nonstop Markets	Forecast
Dallas/Fort Worth	2,350
Houston	486
Los Angeles	5,235
Nashville	978
San Francisco	<u>3.791</u>
Total Nonstop Markets	12,840
Total Forecast (Non-interline)	100,270
Percent in Nonstop Markets	12.8%

SOURCE: DL-301, NW-301, TW-301

## NORTHWEST'S MINNEAPOLIS/ST. PAUL SERVICE AREA PRIMARILY DUPLICATES NORTHWEST'S DETROIT SERVICE AREA, PARTICULARLY IN LARGEST WESTERN MARKETS (Rebuts NW-604, 608)

<u>Serial</u>	Overall <u>Rank</u>	Origin City	O&D 1993	"Circuity" NW NW via via <u>MSP</u> <u>Detroit</u>	Nonstop to Nonstop via Detroit
1	3	Los Angeles	247,580	1.8 0.6	Yes
2	7	San Francisco	171,040	0.5 1.5	Yes
3	13	Minneapolis	51,290	0.0 9.3	Yes
4	15	Phoenix	49,030	4.3 0.5	Yes
5	19	Denver	39,820	3.5 1.6	Yes
6	21	San Diego	32,030	2.6 0.6	Yes
7	22	Las Vegas	31,900	2.0 1.0	Yes
8	23	Seattle	29,360	1.0 3.9	Yes
		Total	652,050		

SOURCE: NW Table 1 in Answer in US-Toronto Exemption Proceeding; NW-604, 608; January 1995 OAG;

March 1995 OAG

#### DELTA'S ATLANTA HUB IS LARGER THAN NORTHWEST'S HUBS AT DETROIT & MINNEAPOLIS

<u>Carrier-Gateway</u>	Flights	Percent	Nonstop
	<u>Per Day</u>	<u>of Delta</u>	to Toronto
DELTA-ATLANTA	700	100%	No
Northwest-Detroit	447	64%	Yes
Northwest-Minneapolis	421	60%	No

SOURCE: DL-117

## DELTA'S ATLANTA-TORONTO PROPOSAL WOULD SERVE MORE CY 1993 TRAFFIC IN MARKETS WITHOUT NONSTOP SERVICE TO TORONTO THAN OTHER CANDIDATE PROPOSALS

<u>Carrier-Gateway</u>	Service Area Minus Nonstop Market: O&D Base	s DL exceeds by	DL% greater
DELTA-ATLANTA	662,410		
NW-Minneapolis TWA-St. Louis Continental-Newark USAir-Pittsburgh	444,700 364,040 412,680 592,660	217,710 298,370 249,730 59,750	49.0% 82.0% 60.5% 10.1%

NOTES: In each case, we took the carrier forecast and total CY 1993 O&D shown (or, YE O&D through June 1994), and subtracted O&D numbers for cities in the forecast which already have nonstop service to Toronto or for which the projected layover in the gateway exceeds 2 hours on at least 2 routings and/or there is one 2 hour layover and no connecting flights shown on other segments. The remainder is shown in column 2 above.

The USAir traffic forecast includes traffic from more nonstop Toronto gateways (21) than any other carrier -- Albany, Baltimore, Boston, Chicago, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Detroit, DFW, Dayton, Hartford, Houston, Indianapolis, Los Angeles, Miami, Nashville, New York, Philadelphia, San Francisco, Tampa, Washington, D.C., and, of course, Pittsburgh.

SOURCE: DL-301; NW-301; TW-301; CO-301; US-301

1	Tho	$D \sim 1 + 2$	calculation	i	20	followa
⊥.	1116	Deita	Carcuration	ΤS	as	TOTIOMS:

DL-301 CY 1993 total traffic for forecast cities = 1,041,190

 Los Angeles
 247,580

 DFW
 102,130

 Houston
 29,070

378,780  $\frac{-378,780}{662,410}$  Service Area minus nonstop markets - to Column 2, p.1  $\frac{-662,410}{662,410}$ 

#### 2. The Northwest calculation is as follows:

NW-301 CY 1993 total traffic for forecast cities = 1,363,110

Chicago	321,150
DFW	102,130
Detroit	76,510
Los Angeles	247,580
San Francisco	<u>171,040</u>
	010 410

918,410 <u>- 918,410</u> Service Area minus nonstop markets - to Column 2, p.1 444,700

#### 3. The TWA calculation is as follows:

TW 301 YE June 1994 total traffic for forecast cities = 959,170

DFW	108,920
Houston	22,530
Los Angeles	242,650
Nashville	45,340
San Francisco	<u>175,690</u>

595,130 <u>- 595,130</u>
Service Area minus nonstop markets - to Column 2, p.1 364,040

#### 4. Continental calculation is as follows:

CO-301 CY 1993 total traffic for forecast cities = 1,459,035

New York	259,585
Albany	1,780
Baltimore	19,450
Cleveland	50,990
Dayton	3,860
Ft. Myers*	17,660
Hartford	21,000
Houston	29,070
Indianapolis	16,710
Miami	217,660
Philadelphia	107,190
Phoenix*	49,030
Tampa	206,400
Washington	<u>45,970</u>
_	1,046,355

Service Area minus nonstop markets - to Column 2, p.1 412,680

<sup>\*</sup> No connection is shown in one direction.

#### 5. The USAir calculation is as follows:

US-301 CY 1993 total traffic for forecast cities = 3,512,130

Nonstops to Toront		Connecting Problems
Albany	2,070	Allentown* 3,270
Baltimore	19,580	Atlanta* 90,440
Boston	213,410	Binghamton, NY* 90
Chicago	325,130	Burlington, VT* _ 1,310
Cincinnati	8,340	Charlotte* 19,900
Cleveland	52,910	Clarksburg* 320
Dallas	108,920	Cumberland* 150
Dayton	3,390	Elmira* 30
Detroit	81,870	Greensboro, NC* 8,330
Hartford	24,040	Hagerstown, MD* 340
Houston	22,530	Harrisburg, PA* 4,710
Indianapolis	15,520	Islip, NY* 990
LaGuardia/Newark	752,110	Lancaster, PA* 860
Los Angeles	242,650	Manchester, NH* 1,690
Miami	208,180	Morgantown, W VA* 160
Nashville	45,340	Newburgh, NY* 340
Philadelphia	111,630	Newport News* 770
Pittsburgh	31,120	Norfolk* 5,240
San Francisco	175,690	Oil City, PA* 30
Tampa	186,290	Portland, Maine* 4,720
Washington, DC	45,040	Providence, RI* 2,330
<i>5 .</i>	•	Raleigh-Durham* 30,440
		Reading* 810
		Richmond* 7,230
		Roanoke* 1,770
		Sarasota* 9,040
		Scranton/Wilkes Barre*1,810
		White Plains* 820
		Williamsport* 520
		Youngstown, OH*210
		2,919,470

Service area minus nonstops & connecting problems 592,660

\* Included because 2 or more connecting flights require a 2+ hour layover in Pittsburgh and/or have one 2 hour layover and are not even listed as conncting opportunities on 2 or more segments.

#### BALL, JANIK & NOVACK ATTORNEYS AT LAW

IIOI PENNSYLVANIA AVE. N. W., SUITE (035 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20004 TELEPHONE (202) 638-3307 TELECOPY (202) 783-6947 IOI S.W. MAIN ST. SUITE 1100 PORTLAND, OREGON 97204 3274 TELEPHONE (503) 228-2525 TELECOPY (503) 295-1058

April 4, 1995

Ms. Myrna Adams Chief, Documentary Section U.S. Department of Transportation 400 Seventh Street, S.W. Room 4107 Washington, D.C. 20590

Re: U.S.-Toronto Service Proceeding, Docket 50168

Dear Ms. Adams:

Enclosed for filing are an original and eight copies of Rebuttal Exhibits of the Georgia & Atlanta Parties in the U.S.-Toronto Service Proceeding. Copies are being served this date on all parties on the Service List.

Please note that Counsel for the Georgia & Atlanta Parties has changed law firms, and thus the new address. We faxed this new address yesterday to all counsel for carriers to facilitate delivery of other rebuttal exhibits.

Respectfully submitted

Bill Alberger

Counsel to

The Georgia & Atlanta Parties

**Enclosure** 

cc: Service List

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was forwarded this 4th day of April, 1995 to all parties named on the attached service list.

Bill'Alberger

#### TORONTO SERVICE LIST

Carl B. Nelson, Jr.
Associate General Counsel
American Airlines, Inc.
1101 17th Street, NW Ste 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

Richard J. Fahy, Jr. Esq. Consulting Attorney Trans World Airlines 1800 Diagonal Rd. #600 Alexandria, VA 22314

Richard Mathias Zuckert, Scoutt & Rasenberger 888 17th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20006

R. Bruce Keiner Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Ave. NW 10th Floor North Washington, D.C. 20004

Stephen H. Lachter 2300 N Street, NW Ste 725 Washington, D.C. 20037

Frank J. Cotter, Esq. USAir, Inc. 2345 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22227

Megan Rae Poldy Northwest Airlines 901 15th St. NW Ste 500 Washington, DC 20005

Dean Hill The Campbell Aviation Group 700 N. Fairfax Street Ste 502 Alexandria, VA 22314

Bradford Bates Midwest Express Airlines 700 11th St. NW Ste 660 Washington, DC 20001

Robert E. Cohn Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, NW 5th Floor Washington, DC 20037

Mayor Sharpe James Mayor of the City of Newark 920 Broad Street Newark, NJ 07102 Gualberto Medina
Commissioner
New Jersey Department of
Commerce & Economic Devlop.
20 W. State Street
Trenton, NJ 08625

Samuel Crane, President Regional Business Partnership Metro Newark Chamber of Commerce One Newark Center Newark, NJ 07102

Timothy R. Sheehy President Metropolitan Milwaukee Assn. of Commerce 756 No. Milwaukee St. Milwaukee, WI 53202

John J. Corbett Rise J. Peters Spiegel & McDiarmid 1350 New York Ave. NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

C. Barry Bateman Airport Director General Mitchell Int'l A/P 5300 So. Howell Ave. Milwaukee, WI 53207-6189

Herbert C. Higginbotham Director, Dept. of Aviation Pittsburgh Int'l A/P Landside Terminal, Ste. 4000 P.O. Box 12370 Pittsburgh, PA 15231-0370

W. Paul Zampol General Attorney Delta Air Lines, Inc. Law Dept. #987 1030 Delta Boulevard Atlanta, GA 30320

D. Scott Yohe Vice President Government Affairs Delta Air Lines, Inc. 1629 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 Toronto Service List Page two

Mayor Freeman Bosley, Jr. City of St. Louis Room 200, City Hall St. Louis, MO 63103

Col. Leonard L. Griggs, Jr. Director of Airports Lambert-St. Louis Int'l A/P P.O. Box 10212 St. Louis, MO 63145

Richard P. Taylor Steptoe & Johnson 1330 Connecticut Ave. NW Washington, DC 20036

Larry D. Cox President Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority 2491 Winchester Road Memphis, Tenn. 38116

Jon F. Ash Managing Director Global Aviation Assoc. Ltd. 1800 K Street, NW Suite 1104 Washington, D.C. 20006

Jeffrey N. Shane Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20037